

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/408,634		WISNIEWSKI ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Robin A. Hylton		3727		

All Participants:

(1) Robin A. Hylton.

(2) Heidi Boehlefeld.

Date of Interview: 7 November 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: abandoned

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
n/a

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
A courtesy call was placed to inquire if applicant had responded to the decision of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences mailed August 31, 2005. Ms. Boehlefeld indicated no response has been filed and no other action has been taken.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


ROBIN A. HYLTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)